PROGRAM REVIEW HANDBOOK

Compton College

rev September, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) Standards
Overview of Program Review
INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE (IEC)
Compton College Institutional Effectiveness Committee
PROGRAM REVIEW AND PLANNING
Institutional Policy Regarding Program Review4
Role of Program Review in Institutional Planning5
PROGRAM REVIEW AT COMPTON COLLEGE
Program Review Cycles
Program Review Components7
Program Review Processes and Timelines8
PROGRAM REVIEW RESOURCES
Helpful Links Related to Program Review11
Resource Contact Information12
APPENDICES
Appendix A: Compton College Mission and Strategic Initiatives
Appendix B: Compton College 2016-2023 Program Review Cycle
Appendix C: Academic Program Review Guidelines and Instructions17
Appendix D: Student Services Program Review Guidelines and Instructions23
Appendix E: Administrative Services Program Review Guidelines and Instructions 27
Appendix F: Career and Technical Education (CTE) Supplemental Questions 30
Appendix G: Academic Program Review Feedback Rubric31
Appendix H: Student Services Program Review Feedback Rubric
Appendix I: Administrative Services Program Review Feedback Rubric44
Appendix J: Glossary47

Introduction

Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) Standards

Compton College is accredited by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC). The ACCJC Accreditation Standards (June 2014) document provides the following standards related to program review:

ACCIC Standard 1.B.5. "The institution assesses accomplishment of its mission through program review and evaluation of goals and objectives, student learning outcomes, and student achievement. Quantitative and qualitative data are disaggregated for analysis by program type and mode of delivery."

ACCJC Standard 1.B. 9. "The institution engages in continuous, broad based, systematic evaluation and planning. The institution integrates program review, planning, and resource allocation into a comprehensive process that leads to accomplishment of its mission and improvement of institutional effectiveness and academic quality. Institutional planning addresses short- and long-range needs for educational programs and services and for human, physical, technology, and financial resources."

Compton College adheres to all ACCJC standards regarding program review. The accreditation standards provide the foundation and guidelines for our program review process, and program review is accordingly integrated into the college's processes for institutional planning and resource allocation.

Overview of Program Review

Program review provides faculty and staff the opportunity to reflect on the accomplishments, challenges, and overall effectiveness of the program they represent. Through the collection and analysis of a variety of quantitative and qualitative data, authors are able to assess program resources and make recommendations for enhancing program effectiveness. Program review informs the future direction of each program by facilitating regular assessment and discussion. In addition, program review affords authors a vehicle to clarify program goals, and incorporate those goals into the planning and budgeting processes at the college, through posting recommendations in the Compton College planning software.

Academic program reviews are the primary vehicle through which programs assess student enrollment trends, retention and completion rates, student equity and course and program-level learning outcomes. Academic program reviews formally document any recommendations related to program resources and/or curriculum. Surveys issued to various stakeholders (students, faculty, clients, or external advisory committees) often assess currency of curriculum, adequacy of instructional resources, and satisfaction with overall program experience. Non academic program reviews are the primary vehicle through which programs review client profiles and delivery of services, assess service area outcomes, and evaluate their performance (in conjunction with the review of client

satisfaction surveys). The result of this process is continuous program improvement, resulting from program recommendations and budget requests, grounded in program data.

Overview of Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC)

Pursuant to Title 5 of the Administrative Code of California (Section 53200), Program Review is one of the "10+1" areas within the purview of the Compton Community College District (CCCD) Academic Senate. The CCCD Academic Senate acknowledges the program review process as overseen by the Institutional Effectiveness Committee, which is cochaired by the Senate President, or designee, and one management representative. In addition to its Co-chairs, the IEC is comprised of faculty from various disciplines, classified unit representatives, and designated academic and administrative deans.

As a standing committee of the Consultative Council, the IEC is a collaborative delegation by which policies and procedures related to program review are developed and revised. The CCCD Academic Senate must vote on and approve substantive changes to the IEC processes. According to CCCD Board Policy 2520, the Board will rely primarily on faculty expertise on academic and professional matters as defined by Sub-Chapter 2, Section 53200, et seq., California Administrative Code, Title 5.

IEC Role and Function

The purpose of the IEC is to review and provide recommendations about each of the District's program reviews in order to strengthen and support programs and program analysis. The Committee will also be responsible for reviewing the following: Compton College Educational Master Plan, Facilities Master Plan, Staffing Plan, and Technology Master Plan, to ensure these plans and all Program Reviews are consistent, aligned, and current. The IEC Committee monitors the status of these documents. In spring 2019, this Handbook as well as the major College Plans (formerly known as the Comprehensive Master Plan) were reviewed and updated to align with the California Community College Chancellor's Office (CO) Vision for Success.

IEC members are expected to review program review documents prior to monthly scheduled meetings, so they can provide feedback before sending the committee recommendations to program review authors. Each program's document is thoroughly reviewed by members of the committee. IEC members are assisted by the Program Review Coordinator, who organizes meetings, sets timelines, develops the program review process, assists authors, leads trainings, and provides general and data-specific support. The Program Review Coordinator is available for consultation throughout the program review process.

Program Review and Planning

Institutional Policy Regarding Program Review

Compton College has a long-standing policy regarding program review. The policy requires that every program offered at the college conduct a self-study and external review every four (4) years. The policy and related procedures are flexible and evolving to ensure that the program review process continues to comply with applicable standards and guidelines established by the college's accrediting body, the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC). The current program review process meets accreditation standards and is reviewed on an ongoing basis by the Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC).

Role of Program Review in Institutional Planning

The current program review process includes the collection and analysis of a variety of course- and program-level assessment data. These data often reflect information related to student outcomes, program resources, and stakeholder satisfaction. As assessment results are generally used to inform and justify recommendations for program improvements, the program review process plays an important role in institutional planning and budget prioritization. Compton College's institutional planning process is based on the college mission and strategic initiatives (see Appendix A) and is guided by a variety of college-wide processes, including program review. These integrated processes serve to guide resource allocation to ensure institutional effectiveness and student success.

During the program review process, a variety of quantitative and qualitative data are reviewed to identify any opportunities for addressing student equity, enhancing student learning, and promoting overall success in the program. Final program review documents include formal recommendations for program improvement. The program faculty may recommend curricular changes (e.g., course revisions, course requirements for certificates/degrees) which are proposed to the Division and College Curriculum Committees. Other recommendations may be instructional in nature, or operational and may be directly implemented without additional cost. Program review recommendations that will incur a cost are prioritized at multiple levels of planning and considered in terms of their alignment with one or more of the College's strategic initiatives. Funding requests that originate from program review are accordingly considered within the context of the college's planning and budgeting process each year (see Figure 1 below).

The Program Review informs but is distinct from the annual plan that each discipline and department creates. Program Review is a comprehensive review of student success and services over several years, while the annual plan identifies goals, priorities, and recommendations for the next academic or fiscal year. Annual plans start at each program or department and roll up into unit, then area, and finally into the overall college plan for the next academic/fiscal year. This College Plan should be aligned with the proposed budget for the upcoming fiscal or academic year.

At the program level, recommendations from program review are documented in the Program Plan which is submitted to the Division Dean or the Department Director. The Dean or Director, along with relevant stakeholders (faculty, staff and administrators) consider the funding requests from each program's Annual Plan as they develop the Unit Plan which includes a prioritized list of recommendations at the division level. Upon review of each division's Unit Plan, the Dean determines the top priorities to incorporate into the Area Plan for academic affairs. The same process captures recommendations from student services, administrative services, human resources and departments under the President's office.

The Vice Presidents then collaborate to prioritize the requests in each of their area plans and to determine the college's top priorities within each of the following funding categories: 1) Staffing, 2) Software/Hardware, 3) Instructional Equipment, 4) Noninstructional Equipment, Furniture, 5) Facilities, and 6) Other. These prioritized funding requests are then presented to the Compton College Planning and Budgeting Committee, which reviews them to ensure that they align with the college mission and strategic initiatives. The final list of proposed funding allocations is incorporated into the college budget and forwarded to the President/CEO and the Board of Trustees for their approval.

Figure 1.



Program Review at Compton College

Program Review Cycles

Pursuant to institutional policy, all programs at Compton College are required to conduct a full program review every four (4) years. Program review is a self-study process designed to facilitate the following objectives:

- 1 Recognize and acknowledge program/department performance.
- 2 Assist in program/department improvement through self-reflection.
- 3. Enhance student success by offering recommendations to improve their performance in program and student learning outcomes.
- 4. Provide program members the opportunity to discuss and evaluate thestrengths and weaknesses of their programs/departments.

Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs conduct a full program review every four years, which includes Supplemental Questions for CTE programs. In addition, every two years (once between full reviews) CTE programs must conduct a mini-review and respond to Supplemental Questions for CTE programs.

The Office of Academic Affairs is responsible for supporting and monitoring the overall academic program review process. Division Deans are responsible for designating particular faculty members who will provide program-level leadership for completing a scheduled review in any given year. The Vice President of Student Services is responsible for identifying and designating authors of student service program reviews, while the Vice President of Administrative Services is responsible for assigning and monitoring the program reviews of administrative departments. The Vice President of Human Resources is responsible for the Human Resources review, and the Director of Institutional Research and Planning manages the program reviews assigned under the President's Office. Included is the Program Review Cycle for 2016-2023 for academic programs at Compton College (see Appendix B).

Program Review Components

Program review is designed to represent a comprehensive self-study and examination process. To ensure thorough consideration of various aspects of program effectiveness, full academic program review at Compton College includes the following components:

- Overview of the Program
- Analysis of Research Data (including completion and transfer data in alignment with Vision for Success)
- Curriculum
- Assessment and Student Learning Outcomes
- Analysis of Student Feedback
- Facilities and Equipment
- Technology and Software
- Staffing

- Future Direction and Vision
- Prioritized Recommendations

Student Service program reviews include the following components:

- Program Description
- Program Environment
- Service Area Outcomes
- Program Improvement
- Customer Service
- Conclusions and Recommendations

Administrative Service and President's Office program reviews include the following components:

- Program Overview
- Program Data
- Program Requirements
- Recommendations

The Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC) maintains updated program review guidelines and instructions (see Appendix C, D and E) which provides a detailed description of and guidelines for addressing each of the components identified above. During the year for which a program/department is scheduled for program review, the faculty compiles a final program review document which addresses each component.

As Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs are required to complete a CTE Review every two years (once between full reviews), the full review for such programs also includes the CTE Supplemental Questions (see Appendix F for the full list of questions and required documentation).

Program Review Process and Timeline

Compton College follows a structured process which provides guidance, resources, and other support for the development of the final program review document by program faculty. The Program Review Coordinator ensures that the most updated Program Review materials are available on the college website. The Program Review Coordinator also conducts training sessions each semester to provide faculty an overview of the program review components, process, and timeline for completion. When applicable, training sessions will give special emphasis to data analysis.

Academic Program Review Process. Accessible via the academic program review website, the process document is presented below, which provides an overview of the academic program review process.

Step	Action	Time
1	Programs due for review will be notified. The faculty coordinator will email templates to program authors.	February/March
2	Authors attend program review trainings, and begin working on first drafts.	February/March
3	Authors complete first drafts. Student surveys are administered during this time. Collaboration with program faculty, SLO Facilitators, Deans and the Program Review Coordinator, are encouraged.	March-June
4	Drafts are submitted to Deans for review. Deans will comment, and return drafts to faculty within 2 weeks.	June-August
5	Faculty finalize program reviews, taking the Dean's comments into account.	June-August
6	Faculty authors submit program reviews to the Program Review Coordinator (jmills@elcamino.edu), who forwards them to the Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC).	September 1
7	The IEC reviews submissions utilizing a rubric, with an emphasis on both content and form. Submissions are deemed "exemplary," "proficient" or "needs improvement."	October- November
8	Program submissions receiving a "proficient" or "needs improvement" will be provided a list of suggested revisions by the IEC. Authors with documents deemed "proficient" may make changes before final submission to the Program Review Coordinator within 30 days. Authors receiving a "needs improvement" must address the comments and resubmit to their division Dean or Supervisor within 30 days.	November- December
9	Documents which "need improvement" must be approved by the Dean/Supervisor. If not approved by the Dean within 30 days, delinquent programs will lose funding priority, and faculty may lose overload privileges.	N/A
10	Following acceptance, authors will send final drafts to the Program Review Coordinator to be posted to the website, and upload their program review into the campus planning software. Finally, faculty will incorporate recommendations into their annual plan(s).	N/A

Non-Academic Program Review Process. The following document provides an overview of the non-academic program review process.

Step	Action	Time
1	The semester prior to the program review due date, authors should request applicable data from Institutional Research, and design their client/customer satisfaction survey.	Prior Semester
2	Authors will be asked to attend program review trainings, where they will begin working with the template and their data.	First Month of Current Semester
3	Authors begin working on drafts. Client/customer satisfaction surveys are administered during this time, and returned to IR for analysis. Collaboration with program employees, Deans, Supervisors, and the Program Review Coordinator, are encouraged.	First Month of Current Semester
4	Drafts are submitted to Deans/Supervisors for review (if applicable). Administrators will comment, and return drafts to faculty within 2 weeks.	TBD By Dean or Supervisor
5	Authors finalize program reviews, taking Administrator comments into account.	TBD By Dean or Supervisor
6	Authors submit program reviews to the Program Review Coordinator (jmills@elcamino.edu), who forwards them to the Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC).	End of Semester
7	The IEC reviews submissions utilizing a rubric, with an emphasis on both content and form. Submissions are deemed "exemplary," "proficient" or "needs improvement."	Following Semester
8	Program submissions receiving a "proficient" or "needs improvement" will be provided a list of comments by the IEC. "Proficient" programs may address these comments. Program documents that "need improvement" must address these comments and resubmit to their Dean or Supervisor within 30 days.	Following Semester
9	Documents originally deemed "needs improvement" must be approved by the Dean/Supervisor.	N/A
10	Following acceptance, authors will upload their program review into the campus planning software (ELumen).	N/A

Finalizing the Program Review Document. As described in a previous section of this document, the Program Review Coordinator as well as the Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC) is available throughout the program review process to offer guidance and support to programs scheduled for review in any given year. Additionally, program review training workshops are offered according to the schedule on the program review website. By the date identified in the current year's timeline, each program must submit a formal program review document which addresses the required components described in the previous section. Upon receipt of program review drafts, IEC members review eachdocument and use a standard rubric (see appendix G, H or I) to provide feedback to every program regarding how the draft document addresses each program review component. Program submissions are deemed either "exemplary," "proficient," or "needs improvement" (Program submissions receiving a "needs improvement" will be provided a list of comments by the IEC and may request a meeting with the reviewers or Program Review Coordinator for further clarification. These programs have 30 days to address these issues and resubmit to their Vice President. Once approved, the Program Review Coordinator will post the document to the website. Programs whose documents do not meet proficiency will not be eligible to submit budget or faculty requests until the deficiencies are addressed.

Final Steps in the Program Review Process. Upon submission of the final program review document to the IEC, each program must also: 1) upload a PDF of the document to the Document Repository of the college's data management system for program review and planning (i.e., ELumen, which the college also uses to store information related to the assessment of learning outcomes), and 2) copy text from each component section of the final program review into the respective section of the program review and planning module of the system (the module currently does not support charts or graphs). Within ELumen program review and planning module, program information can be updated during the four years between formal program reviews. Programs should update on an ongoing basis so that the information in the module represents a snapshot of program outcomes, resources, and recommendation statuses at any given time.

Program Review Resources

Helpful Links Related to Program Review

Compton College Academic and Student Service Program Review Webpages:

http://www.compton.edu/Academics/program-reviews/ http://www.compton.edu/studentservices/ProgramReview.aspx

The Program Review webpages provides general information regarding the program review process and contains links to regularly updated information such as:

Program Review Cycles
 Program Review Manual

Program Review Timelines
 Program Review Training Schedule

Completed Program Reviews from the Current and Previous Years

Office of Institutional Research and Planning (IRP) Webpages:

http://www.compton.edu/academics/ir/

The IRP webpages features a dashboard which provides access to a variety of institutional and program-specific data supporting program review, including: 1) student demographics, 2) success and retention rates, and 3) survey results.

ELumen

https://myapps.microsoft.com/

Compton College uses the Elumen data management system to support its learning assessment, program review, and planning processes. Users must log in to Elumen to enter and/or update data, recommendations, and other information related to these processes. From within the system, users may view program review and planning information (depending on approved level of access). Elumen also includes reporting features which facilitate monitoring various review cycles and tracking specific action items.

Resource Contact Information

Program Review Coordinator

Jesse Mills, Professor of Political Science mills@compton.edu (310) 900-1600, x2213

Institutional Effectiveness Committee Chair

Katherine Marsh, Professor of Biology kmarsh@compton.edu (310) 900-1600, x2438

Office of Institutional Research and Planning

Lauren Sosenko, Director, Research and Planning Lsosenko@compton.edu (310) 900-1600, X2791

Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) Facilitators

Each academic division designates at least one SLO Facilitator to assist with the coordination of courseand program-level learning outcomes assessment for the division. SLO Facilitators are a valuable resource for completing the "Assessments and Student Learning Outcomes" component of program review. As faculty assignments are subject to change for a variety of reasons, each division office maintains the most updated information regarding its SLO Facilitator(s).

APPENDIX A

CCCD COLLEGE VISION & MISSION AND STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

Vision

Compton College will be the leading institution of student learning and success in higher education.

Mission Statement

Compton College is a welcoming and inclusive community where diverse students are supported to pursue and attain student success. Compton College provides solutions to challenges, utilizes the latest techniques for preparing the workforce and provides clear pathways for completion of programs of study, transition to a university, and securing living-wage employment.

STRATEGIC INITIATIVES for 2015-2020

In order to fulfill the mission and make progress toward the vision *from 2017 to 2024*, Compton College will focus its efforts on the following Strategic Initiatives. Strategic Initiatives represent the areas of focused improvement. Objectives are college-wide plans to make progress on each initiative—

Improve recruitment, enrollment, retention, and completion rates for our students.

- Objective 1. Tailor degree and certificate programs to meet the needs of our students.
- Objective 2. Educate students about pathways to graduation.
- Objective 3. Enhance student preparation for academic success and completion.
- Objective 4. Provide a student-centered environment that leads to student success.

Support the success of all students to meet their education, and career goals.

- Objective 1. Attract and retain traditional students, and focus on retaining non-traditional students.
- Objective 2. Minimize the equity gap for access, retention, and graduation rates.
- Objective 3. Identify and provide clear pathways for traditional and non-traditional students to meet their goals.

Support the success of students through the use of technology.

- Objective 1. Implement an early alert program to identify and notify students of support services and programs in a timely manner.
- Objective 2. Provide robust distance education course and service offerings.
- Objective 3. Enhance technology for teaching and learning through professional development.

Offer excellent programs that lead to degrees and certificates in Allied Health and Technical fields.

Objective 1. Increase the number of degrees and certificates awarded in the Allied Health and Technical fields.

- Objective 2. Implement a plan to target outreach of working professionals in Healthcare and Advanced Manufacturing.
- Objective 3. Create collaborative partnerships with industry leaders in the Allied Health and Technical fields.

Establish partnerships in the community and with the K-12 schools.

- Objective 1. Establish faculty to faculty partnerships with K-12 feeder schools to better align curriculum between the two segments, and to improve student preparation.
- Objective 2. Continue to develop more Career and Technical Education programs that meet the needs of the community.
- Objective 3. Strengthen the broader needs of the community served by Compton Community College District.

APPENDIX B

Compton College

Program Review Timelines

2016 - 2023

Program	Туре	Year Due	CTE 2 Year	Year Due	CTE 2 Year
Child Development	AA	2016	2018	2020	2022
Communication Studies	AA	2016		2020	
English	AA	2016		2020	
English as a Second Language	AA	2016		2020	
History	AA	2016		2020	
Life Sciences	AA	2016		2020	
Political Science	AA	2016		2020	
Social Science: Sociology, Anthropology, Ethnic Studies, Women's Studies, Economics	AA	2016		2020	
Auto Collision Repair and Painting	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Auto Technology	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Business	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Computer Information Systems	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Fine Arts: Art, Dance, Film/Video, Theatre	AA	2017		2021	
Heating, Ventilation, A/C & Refrigeration (HVACR)	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Kinesiology, Physical Education, First Aid, Recreation	AA	2017		2021	
Student Success Center	SS	(Spring 2017)		2021	
Music	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Nursing	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Welding	AA	2017	2019	2021	2023
Administration of Justice	AA	2018	2020	2022	2024
Chemistry	AA	2018		2022	
Earth Science	AA	2018		2022	
Human Development	AA	2018		2022	
Languages: Spanish, ASL	AA	2018		2022	
Mathematics	AA	2018		2022	
Physics/Astronomy	AA	2018		2022	
Psychology	AA	2018		2022	
Cosmetology*	AA	2019	2021	2023	2025
Distance Education	SS	Revised to Spring 2020		Revised to Spring 2024	
Machine Tool & Manufacturing Technology	AA	2019	2021	2023	2025

CalWORK's	SS	Spring 2016	Spring 2020
EOPS & CARE	SS	Spring 2016	Spring 2020
Upward Bound	SS	Fall 2016	Fall 2020
Counseling	SS	Fall 2016	Fall 2020
Special Resource Center	SS	Fall 2017	Fall 2021
Child Development Center	SS	Fall 2017	Fall 2021
Athletics	SS	Spring 2018	Spring 2022
Assessment/Testing	SS	Spring 2018	Spring 2022
Student Life	SS	Fall 2018	Fall 2022
Financial Aid & Scholarship	SS	Revised to Spring 2019	Spring 2023
First Year Experience	SS	Spring 2019	Spring 2023
Admissions & Records	SS	Revised to Fall 2019	Fall 2023
Foster Care	SS	Revised to Fall 2019	Fall 2023
Outreach & School Relations	SS	Revised to Fall 2019	Fall 2023
Police Services	SS	Fall 2019	Fall 2023
Business Services (Accounting, contracts)	AS	Spring 2018	Spring 2022
Human Resources	AS	Spring 2018	Spring 2022
Facilities, Planning and Operations	AS	Spring 2018	Spring 2022
Purchasing	AS	Spring 2019	Spring 2023
Auxiliary Services (Facilities rental)	AS	Fall 2019	Fall 2023
Information Technology Services	AS	Revised to Spring 2020	Spring 2024
Institutional Research	PRES	Spring 2017	Spring 2021
Community Relations	PRES	Spring 2019	Spring 2023
	•		

^{*}New Program

All academic program reviews due to the IEC September 1.

Student service and administrative program reviews due to the IEC by the end of the semester.

APPENDIX C

COMPTON COLLEGE ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW GUIDELINES AND INSTRUCTIONS

Program Review Component		Guidelines and Instructions
1.	Overview of the Program	Overview of the Program
a)	Provide a brief narrative description of the currentprogram, including the program's mission statement and the students it serves.	If someone asked you about your program, what would you tell them? Use this opportunity to "brag" about your program offerings, accomplishments, and contributions to the college and/or the community. Describe the students and other stakeholders that you serve.
b)	Describe the degrees and/or certificates offered by the program.	In your response, include the number of units or courses required to complete the program.
c)	Explain how the program fulfills the college's mission and aligns with the strategic initiatives (see Appendix A).	How do program offerings and services contribute to the college mission? In what way do program objectives align with the college's strategic initiatives?
d)	Discuss the status of recommendations from your previous program review.	What happened with the requests you had before? Are they completed, active, on hold, abandoned? How did any action or inaction on the past recommendations impact your program?
2. <u>/</u>	Analysis of Research Data (include data provided by	Analysis of Research Data
	Institutional Research & Planning)	Program data is provided in the program review data dashboard, accessible via this webpage: https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/lauren.sosenko49 79/viz/Program_Review_213/StudentCounts
		Beginning in the 2019-20 academic year, the data will include completion and transfer data aligned with the California Community College Chancellor's Office Vision for Success.

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
Pro	vide and <u>analyze</u> the following statistics/data.	
a)	Head count of students in the program	Identify and address any enrollment trends. Break down and describe enrollment by demographic characteristics (gender, race, age, educational goal, class enrollment time). Has there been an increase or decrease in enrollment during the review cycle?
b)	Course grade distribution	Are there some courses that stand out in one way or another in terms of grades?
c)	Success rates (Discuss your program's success rates, addressing any performance gaps).	Analyze success for various demographics. In discussing success, address any performance gaps If your success rates are lower for disproportionally impacted students. What is your program doing or planning to do toclose performance gaps and address student equity?
d)	Retention rates (Discuss your program's retention rates, addressing any student equity issues as	In discussing retention, address any performance gaps if your retention rates are lower for disproportionally impacted students. Analyze
	applicable.)	What is your program doing or planning to do to retain students who are disproportionately impacted?
e)	A comparison of success and retention rates in face-to- face classes with distance education classes	Are there any differences in success and retention rates across delivery method? In discussing success and retention rates, consider using SLO assessment data as a complement, especially in cases where success is low due to large numbers of drops.
f)	Enrollment statistics with section and seat counts and fill rates	Identify and address any trends in fill rates. Are there particular sections that are consistently over/under filled?
g)	Scheduling of courses (day vs. night, days offered, and sequence)	Are the times and frequencies that courses are scheduled fulfilling the need or demand for the courses?
h)	Completion counts	What number of students earn degrees and/or certificates? What number of students transfer? The following websites contain rich data resources about
i)	Transfer data	your program and the college:
j)	Additional data compiled by faculty	 Compton College Institutional Research and Planning http://www.compton.edu/academics/ir/ Chancellor's Office DataMart http://datamart.cccco.edu
		-

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
j)	List any related recommendations.	Additional data may include survey data, test scores, careed placement, etc. Requests for specific data collection can be made at the Institutional Research and Planning website, on by speaking with IRP staff. If you have any questions about data or its collection, please contact IRP.
		If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost estimate.
	Curriculum	<u>Curriculum</u>
	riew and discuss the curriculum work done in the gram during the past four years, including the following:	Curricunet is the database for curriculum. Log in through the MyCompton portal.
a)	Provide the curriculum course review timeline to ensure all courses are reviewed at least once every 6 years.	For curriculum questions and timelines, consult your division representative to the College Curriculum Committee.
b)	Explain any course additions to current course offerings.	Course deletions permanently eliminate a course, whereas inactivations put a course "on hold." An inactivated course does not appear in the college catalogue but can be
c)	Explain any course deletions and in activations from current course offerings.	relatively easily reactivated through the curriculum process It is much easier to reactivate a course than to create a new one. Some courses are currently being inactivated because they have not been offered in the past several years
d)	Describe the courses and number of sections offered in distance education. (Distance education includes hybrid classes.)	Articulation refers to an official agreement between Compton College and other institutions. If a course has
e)	Discuss how well the courses, degrees, or certificates meet students' transfer or career training needs.	been "articulated" it means that the institution acknowledges that the course is equivalent to the course offered on their campus and agrees to accept it in lieu of their course.
	 Have all courses that are required for your program's degrees and certificates been offeredduring the last two years? If not, has the program established a course offering cycle? 	Transferable means that an institution will accept a course as elective credit but does not guarantee course-to-course credit.
	2. Are there any concerns regarding program courses and their articulation to courses at other educational institutions?	

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
	 How many students earn degrees and/or certificates in your program? Set an attainable, measurable goa Irelated to student completion of the program's degrees/certificates. 	Set a specific, realistic goal for degree/certificate completion rates based on your data. Discuss any factors that may impact student attainment. Discuss any program modifications (e.g., revised program criteria) that may be considered based on the data.
	4. Are any licensure/certification exams required for program completion or career entry? If so, what is the pass rate among graduates? Set an attainable, measurable goal for pass rates and identify any applicable performance benchmarks set by regulatory agencies.	As applicable, set a specific, realistic goal for licensure/certification exam pass rates based on your data. Discuss factors that may impact student pass rates. Discuss any curriculum revisions or other program modifications that may be considered based on the data. As applicable, address any action plans for maintaining/improving performance relative to standard benchmarks.
f)	List any related recommendations.	If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost estimate.
4. <u>4</u>	Provide a copy of your alignment grid, which shows how course, program, and institutional learning outcomes are aligned.	Assessment and Student Learning Outcome (SLOs) Discuss the SLO process and assessment results. Please note that according to the ACCIC rubric, a "sustainable" level of assessment is evidenced when student learning outcomes and assessment are ongoing, systematic, and used for continuous quality improvement; there is dialogue about student learning that is ongoing, pervasive, and robust; and student learning improvement is a visible priority in the program.
b)	Provide a timeline for your course and program level SLO assessments.	Please contact your SLO facilitator and/or the SLO coordinator for SLO alignment grids.
c) d)	State the percent of course and program SLO statements that have been assessed. Summarize the SLO and PLO assessment results overthe past four years and describe how an analysis of those results led to improved student learning. Analyze and describe those changes. Provide specific examples. Describe how you have improved your SLO/PLOassessment process and engaged in dialogue about assessment results.	Tabulate the number and percent of course and program SLO statements assessed. What has your program learned by assessing its SLOs and PLOs? What changes you have made after considering assessment results? In the response, describe the changes and the impact they have had on student learning and program effectiveness. Is the program making improvements to the SLO assessment process and raising SLO awareness with faculty and students? Have SLO assessment results been shared and discussed in meetings (e.g., faculty meetings, brown-bag lunches)? Is information about SLOs distributed via email, bulletin boards, or some form of update?
f)	List any related recommendations.	If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost estimate.

Program Review Component Guidelines and Instructions 5. Analysis of Student Feedback **Analysis of Student Feedback** How were the surveys distributed? What was the Provide a copy of any feedback reports generated by Institutional Research and Planning or your program. Review response rate? and discuss student feedback collected during the past four years including any surveys, focus groups, and/or interviews. Describe the results of the student survey in each of Are there any noteworthy patterns of student feedback? the following areas: Analyze areas where student perceive the program is i. Student support performing well and areas where students perceive the ii. Curriculum program could use improvement. Attach survey report iii. Facilities, Equipment, and technology from IRP as an appendix to the final program review iv. Program objectives document. Discuss the implications of the survey results for Does the student feedback correlate with any of your findings in other program review areas (e.g., Curriculum, the program. Facilities)? Are students asking for courses, services, or Discuss the results of other relevant surveys (if applicable). other items which require additional resources? List any related recommendations. If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost estimate. **Facilities and Equipment Facilities and Equipment** In the response, identify and fully justify any facilities and Describe and assess the existing program facilities equipment needs. Discuss the viability of consolidating or and equipment. sharing equipment and facilities to satisfy needs. What impact would not meeting these needs have on your b) Explain the immediate (1-2 years) needs related program? tofacilities and equipment. Provide a cost estimate for each need and explain how it will help the program better meet its goals. Explain the long-range (2-4+ years) needs related to facilities and equipment. Provide a cost estimate for each need and explain how it will help the program better meet its goals. If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost d) List any related recommendations. estimate. 7. Technology and Software **Technology and Software** In the response, identify and fully justify any technology and a) Describe and assess the adequacy and currency of software needs. Discuss the viability of consolidating or the technology and software used by the program. sharing technology and software to satisfy needs. What impact would not meeting these needs have on your b) Explain the immediate (1-2 years) needs related program? totechnology and software. Provide a cost estimate for each need and explain how it will help the program better meet its goals. c) Explain the long-range (2-4+ years) needs related to If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost technology and software. Provide a cost estimate estimate. Be sure to include the cost of maintenance and for each need and explain how it will help the upgrades. program better meet its goals. d) List any related recommendations.

Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
8. Staffing	Staffing
 a) Describe the program's current staffing, including faculty, administration, and classified staff. 	In the response, identify and fully justify any current staffing needs. Discuss any options for addressing the needs.
b) Explain and justify the program's staffing needs in the immediate (1-2 years) and long-term (2-4+ years). Provide cost estimates and explain how the position/s will help the program better meet its goals.	What impact would not meeting these staffing needs have on your program? If the recommendation requires funding, provide a cost
c) List any related recommendations.	estimate for classified staff and faculty.
9. <u>Direction and Vision</u>	<u>Direction and Vision</u>
 a) Describe relevant changes within the academic field/industry. How will these changes impact the program in the next four years? 	What are the emerging trends within the academic field or discipline? What changes have occurred in the industry since the last review cycle?
 b) Explain the direction and vision of the program and how you plan to achieve it. 	What do you envision as in ideal future direction for your program? What is the future of your program and how do you hope to get there?
c) List any related recommendations.	
10. Prioritized Recommendations	Prioritized Recommendations
a) Provide a single, prioritized list of recommendations and needs for your program/department (drawn from your recommendations in sections 2-8). Include cost estimates and list the college strategic initiative that supports each recommendation (see Appendix A). Use the following chart format to organize your recommendations.	All the prioritized recommendations appearing in this list should be discussed and justified earlier in the review.
Recommendation Cost S.I. 1. 2. 3. b) Explain why the list is prioritized in this way.	Be sure to include the cost estimates for pertinent recommendations. The recommendations that carry a cost will be entered into the college's data management system for program review and planning (i.e., ELumen) and must be linked to one of the college's strategic initiatives to be considered for funding.

APPENDIX D COMPTON COLLEGE STUDENT SERVICES PROGRAM REVIEW GUIDELINES AND INSTRUCTIONS

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
1.	Program Description	Program Description
a)	Describe the program. How does the program link to the College's mission statement, statement of values, or strategic initiatives?	If someone asked you about your program, what would you tell them? Explain what the program does. What are its goals? Who is eligible? How does its function further the goals of the college (be specific and link it to the mission statement or strategic initiatives)?
b)	Describe the student population served by the program using data. Please note the source of the data. If necessary, please contact the Office of Institutional Research & Planning to obtain data.	If the program does not gather this data, please contact Institutional Research to request it. This should be done the prior semester, to allow IR time to compile the data. Provide descriptive statistics such as age, ethnicity, year in college, etc.
c)	Describe how interaction with the program helps students succeed or meet their educational goals.	Explain how the program is designed to help students. For example, what service is provided, and how does that help students (if you cover this in section 1, just briefly summarize). If possible, utilizing data, demonstrate the program's impact on student success or other student goals.
d)	How does the program interact with other on-campus programs or with off-campus entities?	Does this program work with others in order to meet a larger goal? Who are these partners and what are their roles?
e)	List notable achievements that have occurred since the last Program Review.	What are some of the program's successes? Describe them.
f)	What prior Program Review recommendations were not implemented, if any, and why? What was the impact on the program and the students?	Explain why some recommendations weren't implemented. Were they ultimately not necessary? Are they going to be implemented in the future? Please provide justification for recommendations not implemented.
2.	Program Environment	Program Environment
a)	Describe the program environment. Where is the program located? Does the program have adequate resources to provide the required programs and services to staff and students? If not, why?	Describe the physical facilities. Is the equipment and/or technology adequate to meet the program's needs? In the response, identify and fully justify any facilities and equipment requests. Discuss the viability of consolidating or sharing equipment and facilities to satisfy yneeds.
b)	Describe the number and type of personnel assigned to the program. Please include a current organizational chart.	List all personnel and their roles.
c)	Describe the personnel needs for the next four years.	Is current staffing adequate, or are new hires necessary? Are there anticipated departures that will need to be addressed? Is the program expanding?
d)	Describe facilities needs for the next four years.	Are new facilities necessary? If so, what kinds/types and why.

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
e)	Describe the equipment (including technology) needs for the next four years.	Is existing equipment adequate, or do new purchases need to be made Are there ongoing equipment needs?
f)	Describe the specific hours of operation of the program. Do the scheduled hours of operation meet the needs of staff and students?	Justify the program's operating hours. Please support with data from customer satisfaction survey.
g)	laws, changing demographics, and the characteristics of	Are there external factors that must be taken into account with regards to funding, students served, service delivery, or any other aspects of the program?
3. <u>Servi</u>	ce Area Outcomes	Service Area Outcomes
	attach SAO assessment results as an appendix to the m review	
a)	List the program's SAOs.	
b)	How were the SAOs developed? Who was engaged in the creation of the SAOs?	Describe the process by which the SAO's were developed. How did the program decide on this set of SAO's? Was there data involved?
c)	How often are the SAOs assessed and who is engaged in the discussion?	
d)	What has been done if the SAO assessment results were not as anticipated?	What actions have been taken to address SAO's that weren't as expected? If SAO's were as expected, what is
e)	Where are the SAOs assessment results shared with staff, students, and the public?	being done to ensure continued success?
f)		After analyzing the findings, were there areas that the program could improve? If so, what changes were made to address program shortcomings?

Guidelines and Instructions
<u>Program Improvement</u>
How can services be improved? Data derived from SAO's and the customer service survey can be addressed, as well as other data sources.
Has the program used data to improve program services? If so, explain the data sources.
Identify trends in the data (if any exist). Did certain groups of students perform better than others? Was the program more effective for certain students than others? If these trends exist, explain how they can be addressed.
Customer Service Who was it administered to (who was included in the sample)? What type of survey questions were administered (open ended questions, multiple choice questions, likert scale, etc.)? How was the survey administered (face-to-face, online, paper questionnaire, etc.)?
Were there any trends? What did customer satisfaction look like overall? Were there any significant differences in satisfaction between respondents?

Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	Conclusions and Recommendations
(Include only information previously referenced in the	
program review)	All the prioritized recommendations appearing in this list should be discussed and justified earlier in the review.
a) Summarize the program's strengths.	
b) Summarize the program's areas that need improvement.	Be sure to include the cost estimates for pertinent
c) List the program's recommendations in a prioritized manner to help better understand their importance to the program.	recommendations, as well as which strategic initiative they support. The recommendations that carry a cost will be entered into the college's data management system for program review and planning (i.e., ELumen) and must be linked to one of the college's strategic initiatives to be considered for funding.

APPENDIX E

COMPTON COLLEGE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AND PRESIDENT'S OFFICE PROGRAM REVIEW GUIDELINES AND INSTRUCTIONS

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
1.	<u>Overview</u>	<u>Overview</u>
a)	Program profile.	The program profile should contain the program name, primary objectives, funding source(s), a profile of the students or clients served, and any other information that will provide the reader with a more complete understanding of the program. Authors should describe how the program is aligned with the college's mission and strategic initiatives. Please contact Institutional Research to obtain some of this preliminary data if you do not already have access to it. This should be done the prior semester, to allow IR time to compile the data. (If possible) Provide descriptive statistics such as age, ethnicity, year in college, etc.
b)	Status of previous recommendations.	List the current status of recommendations that were provided in the last program review.
c)	Continuing recommendations	
		List the recommendations that are expected to continue as a result of the program review.
2.	Program Data	Program Data
a)	Customer/student/client satisfaction data.	List and analyze the results of the student or client satisfaction survey. Based upon the analysis what program improvements should be made. Assistance from Institutional Research and Planning will be necessary to create, distribute, and tally the survey. Please make arrangements for the survey one semester prior to your due date.
b)	Customer/student/client outcome data.	This section requires the analysis of customer, student or client program data (i.e., metrics) that has been collected over the past three years. Metrics should be thought of as program specific data such as the <u>number</u> of customer/students/clients who utilized various segments of your service over a specific period of time. Based upon the trends and performance indicator data (e.g., metrics) what changes, if any, should be made to improve the program? All program improvement must be tied to the data.
c)	Campus/community collaboration	List collaborative efforts with the campus and external community that are designed to benefit the program. Describe the effectiveness of each collaboration or partnership. Describe how the collaborative efforts can/should be strengthened and what new collaborations or partnerships should be pursued to improve the program.
d)	Program data recommendations	Compile all program data recommendations from A-C.

	Program Review Component	Guidelines and Instructions
3.	Program Requirements	Program Requirements
a)	Program support.	List campus departments that are essential to the success of this program, the impact of those departments on the program, and what is being done to strengthen the partnership between each.
b)	Facilities and equipment.	Provide a summary of the current state of the program's facilities and equipment and list recommendations if appropriate.
c)	Staffing	Describe the adequacy/inadequacy of the program's current staffing level and the training needs of program personnel. Provide a personnel chart * like one that follows. Discuss how the data in the chart impacts the program and its future. Are program personnel current in their field? If not, describe what is needed to maintain currency and how it will improve the program. List recommendations based upon question responses.
d)	Planning	Analyze external (advisory committee input, academic/trade journal articles, or other appropriate sources) and internal information to determine changes or trends that will impact the program within the next five years. Explain how the program's planning process (1) involves program staff, (2) ties into the institution's goals and student learning outcomes, and (3) is linked to budgeting.
		What data, not currently provided, would be needed to improve program development planning? Explain the type of data desired, why it will be useful, and list the possible sources, if known.
		Describe how program personnel are made aware of what is happening in the program, future program plans, external/internal changes affecting the program, and changes that need to be made to enable the program to adapt and continue to be successful.
e)	Program requirement recommendations	List all recommendations made in the Program Requirements section.
4.	Recommendations	Recommendations Provide a prioritized list of all recommendations made throughout this program review and the cost associated with each recommendation, as well as a corresponding success indicator. Each recommendation should be linked to a strategic initiative. List the strategies program personnel will pursue toaid in the achievement of each recommendation. Enter this section into the campus planning software.

*Personnel Chart

Name	Reassigned time (show in %)	Currently on leave*	Retired in the las 2 years	F/T hired in the last 3 years	Anticipated to retire in the next years

APPENDIX F

CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION (CTE) SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

CTE programs must conduct a full program review every 4 years. The comprehensive program review includes responses to the CTE supplemental questions below. Every two years (once between full program reviews) these supplemental questions must be answered and submitted to Academic Affairs for posting on the College website.

Use labor market data, advisory committee input/feedback, and institutional and program-level data to respond to the following questions:

- 1. How strong is the occupational demand for the program? In your response, describe any changes in demand over the past 5 years and discuss the occupational outlook for next 5 years. Provide applicable labor market data (e.g., US Bureau of Labor Statistics, Employment Development Department) that address state and local needs.
- 2. How does the program address needs that are not met by similar programs in theregion? In your response, identify any distinctive components of the program (e.g., curriculum, facilities, resources) and/or describe any unique contributions the program or its students/graduates make to the community served.
- 3. What are the completion, success, and employment rates for students in the program? In your response, identify the standards set by the program and discussany factors that may impact completion, success, and employment rates among students in the program. Describe the status of any action plans for maintaining/improving rates relative to such benchmarks.
- 4. List any licensure/certification exam(s) required for entry into the workforce in the field of study and report the most recent pass rate(s) among program graduates. In your response, identify any applicable performance benchmarks set by regulatory agencies and describe the status of any action plans for maintaining/improving pass rates relative to such benchmarks.
- 5. Are the students satisfied with their preparation for employment? Are the employers in the field satisfied with the level of preparation of program graduates? Use data from student surveys, employer surveys, and other sources of employment feedback to justify your response.
- 6. Is the advisory committee satisfied with the level of preparation of program graduates? How has advisory committee input and feedback been used in the past two years to ensure employer needs are met by the program? Describe the status and impact of any advisory committee recommendations.

California Education Code 78016 requires that the review process for CTE programs includes the review and comments of a program's advisory committee. **Provide the following information:**

- a. Advisory committee membership list and credentials
- Meeting minutes or other documentation to demonstrate that the CTEprogram review process has met the above Education Code requirement.

APPENDIX G

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE (IEC) ACADEMIC PROGRAM REVIEW FEEDBACK RUBRIC

	Program Reviewed Review Date		
	Reviewer Name(optional)_		
	Recommendation		
Section 1 – Overview of the Program			
_	rogram and its degree and/or certificate offerings?	☐ Yes	□ No
Is the program and its objectives aligned with	th the college mission and strategic initiatives?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the program overview sufficiently add	ress the status of recommendations from previous reviews?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:			

Section 2 – Analysis of Research Data

	Check here if item is sufficiently addressed in the data analysis?	Do the data ap related progra			Comments/Questions
Head Count		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Course Grade Distribution		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Success Rates		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Retention Rates		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Distance Education (success and retention)		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Enrollment Statistics		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Scheduling		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Improvement Rates (if applicable)		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Completion Counts (Associate degrees, Associate degrees for transfer, certificates)		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
Transfer data		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	

Additional Data		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
Does the analysis of institu	utional research data set a	standard for su	ccess and pr	ovide an exp	lanation?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:							
Section 3 – Curriculum							
Does the program review	vinclude an updated time	ine for reviewir	ng all course	s on a 6-yea	cycle?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review describe any curriculum revisions related to course offerings (e.g., new courses, course deletions							
and/or inactivations)	?					☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review address	courses offered via distan	ce education?				☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review describe	and set goals for any deg	rees and/or cer	tificates offe	ered by the p	rogram?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review describe	any applicable licensure/	certification exa	ams, gradua	te pass rates	, and any action		
plans for maintaining	/improving performance	relative to stand	dard benchr	narks?		☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:							

ection 4 – Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes		
Does the program review include a representation of the alignment of course SLOs, PLOs, and ILOs?	☐ Yes	□ N
Does the program review include an updated timeline for course- and program-level outcomes assessment?	☐ Yes	□ N
Does the review report and address the % of course- and program-level outcomes assessed?	☐ Yes	□ N
Does the review sufficiently discuss how assessment results have led to changes in instruction, curriculum,		
and/or other aspect of the program? If No, please explain.	☐ Yes	
Does the review sufficiently discuss how the program assesses the effectiveness of any changes that have been implemented as a result of assessment? If <i>No</i> , please explain.	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review describe how the program has refined and improved its SLOs and assessment process (including	_	_
a description of how the program dialogues about SLO assessments)? If <i>No,</i> please explain.	∐ Yes	□ No

Other Comments/Questions:

Yes [□ N
Yes [□ N
Yes [

Section 7: Technology and Software		
Does the program review identify immediate (1 – 2 years) needs related to technology and software?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the program review identify long-range (2 – 4+ years) needs related to technology and software?	☐ Yes	□ No
Do all recommendations related to technology and software which require funding include cost estimates?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review provide sufficient evidence to justify recommendations and funding requests?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:		
Section 8: Staffing		
Does the program review identify immediate (1 – 2 years) needs related to staffing?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the program review identify long-range (2 – 4+ years) needs related to staffing?	☐ Yes	□ No
Do all staffing recommendations which require additional funding include cost estimates?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review provide sufficient evidence to justify recommendations and funding requests?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:		

Section 9: Direction and Vision Does the program review explain relevant changes in the academic field/industry using evidence?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review describe how those changes will impact the program?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:		
Section 10: Prioritized Recommendations		
Does the program review present a prioritized list of recommendations?	☐ Yes	□ No
Does the review explain the process or manner by which the recommendations were prioritized?	☐ Yes	□ No
Are costs included for each recommendation, when necessary?	☐ Yes	□ No
Is each recommendation linked to at least one of the college's strategic initiatives?	☐ Yes	□ No
Is each recommendation discussed and justified in a previous section of the review?	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments/Questions:		

CTE Supplemental Questions (if applicable

Rating of Program Review

Exem	plarv

The program review was extremely well written; concise and grammatically correct with few to no spelling errors. A model program review that is ready to be posted online for a public audience.

Proficient

The program review was adequately written; lengthy or vague at times or included some grammatical and spelling errors. Corrections may be made prior to posting online for a public audience.

Needs Improvement

The program review was poorly written or incomplete; too lengthy or vague or too many grammatical and spelling errors throughout the document. The program review needs to be rewritten and resubmitted.

Appendix H Compton College Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC) Student Services Program Review Feedback Rubric

Program Environment	Excellent	ā	Needs Improvement	Comments
Description of program environment including the program's location and resources to provide required programs and services to staff and students.				
Description of personnel assigned to the program. Inclusion of organizational chart.				
Description of program personnel needs for the next four years.				
Description of program facilities needs for the next four years.				
Description of program equipment needs for the next four years.				
Description of program hours of operation and explanation if hours of operation meet the needs of staff and students.				
Description of external factors that affect the program. Explanation of how the program addresses external factors.				

Service Area Outcomes (SAOs)	Excellent	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Comments
Listing of program's SAOs.				
Description of how SAOs were developed and who engaged in their development.				
Description of how SAOs were assessed and who engaged in the discussion.				
Discussion of SAO assessment results especially if they were not anticipated.				
Description of where SAO assessment results are shared with staff, students, and the public.				
Discussion on SAO assessment results that indicate the need to change or modify components of the program.				

Program Improvement	Excellent	Meets	Expectations	Needs Improvement	Comments
Description of program activities aimed at improving services to students.					
Description of how program personnel have utilized metrics from the last four years to improve program services.					
Explanation of patterns in student success, retention, persistence, graduation, and transfer as they relate to student characteristics and program objectives including planned responses or changes.					
Customer Service	Excellent	Meets	Expectations	Needs Improvement	Comments
Explanation of how the customer service survey was conducted. Inclusion of survey in appendix.					
Explanation of major findings of customer service survey.					
Description of exemplary services that should be expanded or shared with other programs.					
Description of the programs' services that need improvement including how the program will carry out the improvements.					

Conclusions and Recommendations		Meets	Expectations	spaan	Improvement	Comments
Summarization of program's strengths.			_			
Summarization of program's areas needing improvement.						
Listing of program's recommendations in a prioritized manner.						
Rating of Program Review						
Exemplary The program review was extremely well written; concise and grammatically correct with few to no spelling errors. A model program review that is ready to be posted online for a public audience.						
Proficient The program review was adequately written; lengthy or vague at times or included some grammatical and spelling errors. Corrections may be made prior to posting online for a public audience.						

The program review was poorly written or incomplete; too lengthy or vague or too many grammatical and spelling

errors throughout the document. The program review needs to be rewritten and resubmitted.

Needs Improvement

Appendix I

Compton College Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC) Administrative Services and President's Office Program Review Feedback Rubric

This rubric is used by the Administrative Services Program Review Committee to provide feedback on program review drafts.

<u>Section 1 – Overview of the Program</u>

frame of the program review? Yes No

Does the overview succinctly describe the program? Yes No

Does the overview describe the students/clients the program serves, using applicable data gathered during the time

Does the overview discuss the status of previous program recommendations? Yes No

Is the program aligned with the college mission and strategic initiatives? Yes No

Specific comments for section 1:				
				

<u>Section 2 – Analysis of Program Data</u>

	Is data present in each area, and carefully analyzed?	Is data used to effectively and accurately support the program recommendations?
Customer/		
Student/Client		
Satisfaction		
Customer /		
Student / Client		
Outcome Data		
Customer /		
Campus /		
Community		
Collaboration		
Customer /		
Program Data		
Recommendations		

Specific comments fo	r section 2:	
ection 3 – Program	Requirements .	
	Is each category adequately discussed?	*If applicable - Is data used to describe each category (If not applicable, put N/A)?
Program Support		The second second
Facilities and Equipment		
Staffing		
Planning		
Program Requirement Recommendations		
specific comments fo	r section 3:	
Section 4 – Recomme	<u>endations</u>	
s the list of recomme	endations prioritized? Yes No	
s the prioritization ex	xplained? Yes No	
Are costs included for	each recommendation, when necessary?	Yes No
s each recommendat	tion linked to a strategic initiative? Yes N	lo

Is each recommendation discussed and justified in a previous section of the review? Yes No.

Specific co	omments for section 4:
	F <u>Program Review</u> Kemplary
	ram review was extremely well written; concise and grammatically correct with few to no spelling errors. A ogram review that is ready to be posted online for a public audience.
 The progr	roficient ram review was adequately written; lengthy or vague at times or included some grammatical and spelling orrections may be made prior to posting online for a public audience.
 The progr	eeds Improvement ram review was poorly written or incomplete; too lengthy or vague or too many grammatical and spelling roughout the document. The program review needs to be rewritten and resubmitted.

APPENDIX J

Glossary of Terms

Headcount (Students)

The number of individual students enrolled in at least one program course after census date. Individuals are counted only once (referred to as an *unduplicated headcount*).

Student Demographics (Gender, Ethnicity, Academic Level, Educational Goal, etc.) Student-reported demographic data from their admissions application. This data does not get updated unless the student reapplies.

Age/Age Group

Age of the student, calculated at the beginning of the given term.

Class Load

Full-time status is indicated for any students who are enrolled in 12+ units for the semester, as of the first census date. Part-time status is indicated for any students with less than 12 units.

Time of Classes

Daytime classes are any classes beginning on weekdays before 4:30PM. Night classes are any classes beginning after 4:30PM. Classes are considered Weekend classes if they include a Saturday or Sunday meeting time. Classes are considered Unknown if there is no set meeting time, as in Distance Education or Work Experience courses.

Course Grade Distribution

The statistical distribution of all grades received for a given course. From left-to-right, the columns in this table represent: calendar **Year** the course was taught, **Course** abbreviation, instruction **Method** (lecture, laboratory, distance education, etc.), number of **Weeks** the course is held, **Grade** counts, the **Total** number of grades for the course, and the **Success** and **Retention** rate for the course.

Success Rate

The percentage of students enrolled at first census who receive an A, B, C, or P as a final course grade. Inc P grades (IA, IB, IC, IPP) are also considered passing success by the Chancellor's Office. Inc NP (ID, IF) are not considered success but are still included in retention rates. The **Program Success Standard** is calculated as the average between the lowest program success rate over the past five years and the average program success rate over the past five years.

Retention Rate

The number of students who remain enrolled through the end of a course, out of all students who were enrolled at census date. This is essentially the percentage of students who *did not withdraw or drop*.

Program Participation

Graph showing the annual number of sections (bars) and students (blocks) for a given program.

Course Fill Rate

The number of seats taken by students in a course section divided by the capacity of the section (multiplied by 100).