EVALUATION PROCEDURE FOR DISTRICT ADMINISTRATORS

A. General Provisions.

- 1. Unless expressly provided otherwise, the following definitions shall apply throughout this procedure:
 - a. "Comprehensive evaluation" means an evaluation that reviews an administrator's performance based on information obtained through a process of structured data gathering.
 - b. "Basic evaluation" means an evaluation that reviews an administrator's performance without significant structured data gathering.
 - c. "Compton" means the Compton Community College District and "El Camino" means the El Camino Community College District.
 - d. "Faculty Council" means the El Camino College Compton Center Faculty Council of the El Camino College Academic Senate.
 - e. "Provost/CEO" means the Provost/CEO of the El Camino College Compton Center, or his or her designee.
 - f. "Supervising manager" means the immediate supervisor of the administrator being evaluated, or another manager designated by the Provost/CEO to perform the administrator's evaluation.
- 2. The purpose of a formal evaluation under this procedure is to:
 - a. recognize outstanding performance;
 - b. seek to improve satisfactory performance and further the development of an administrator's professional skills;
 - c. identify weak performance and defining needed improvement; and
 - d. document unsatisfactory performance.
- 3. Each evaluation shall review an administrator's performance in the following areas:
 - a. fulfillment of the responsibilities of the position,
 - b. attainment of or progress towards achieving annual goals, and

c. demonstration of leadership skills, communication skills, administrative/managerial skills, and professional knowledge and expertise, as described in Appendix A (Desirable Professional Attributes).

B. Frequency and Type of Evaluation.

- 1. Each administrator shall be evaluated annually. During any given year the evaluation shall be a basic evaluation unless:
 - a. The administrator has not received a comprehensive evaluation within the preceding two years; or
 - b. The supervising manager calls for a comprehensive evaluation; or
 - c. The administrator requests a comprehensive evaluation.
- 2. During the fall of each academic year the administrator and his or her supervising manager shall meet to establish the administrator's annual goals, outcome measures by which the administrator's success in meeting his or her goals will be evaluated, and the administrator's professional development objectives for the year. They shall also determine if the evaluation to be conducted in the spring of that year will be a basic evaluation or comprehensive evaluation, as specified in Section 4, and develop an initial plan for the evaluation.
- 3. At least once during the academic year the administrator and the supervising manager will meet to review the administrator's progress in meeting his or her goals and, if they determine it is appropriate, to adjust the goals.

C. Basic Evaluations. Basic evaluations shall be conducted as follows:

- 1. The evaluation shall be recorded on the appropriate basic evaluation form (see Appendix) completed by the supervising manager. Once completed, the evaluation shall be given to the administrator and a copy shall be placed in his or her personnel file.
- 2. When the completed evaluation is given to the administrator, it shall be accompanied by written advice that the administrator may submit a written comment regarding the evaluation. If the administrator chooses to submit a comment, it shall be appended to the copy of the evaluation contained in the administrator's personnel file.
- 3. In assessing the administrator's performance, the supervising manager shall not be required to conduct any structured data gathering. Instead, he or she shall rely on available information, but only to the extent that it is relevant and obtained from appropriate sources (for example, information derived from personal observation or experience with the administrator; from input received from the administrator's peers, faculty colleagues, or subordinates; or from self-evaluative material or prior evaluations). Nevertheless, by mutual agreement between the administrator and the supervising

manager, the parties may specify that the evaluation shall include structured data gathering from peers, faculty colleagues, subordinates, or other relevant sources to the extent they determine such data gathering will be useful and appropriate.

- 4. In addition to indicating ratings of the administrator's performance, the supervising manager may recommend that the administrator engage in appropriate professional development activities.
- 5. If an administrator's overall performance on his or her basic evaluation is rated "needs to improve" or "unsatisfactory," the administrator may request, and if requested, shall receive a comprehensive evaluation, which shall commence no later than the next regular semester.

D. Comprehensive Evaluations. Comprehensive evaluations shall be conducted as follows:

- 1. Based on the initial plan developed during the fall, the supervising manager shall prepare a plan for the completion of the comprehensive evaluation during the spring. Among other things, the plan shall specify the manner in which the supervising manager will collect relevant information about the administrator's performance from individuals who are knowledgeable about his or her work, the various categories of participants to be asked for information, and the number of participants in each category. At a minimum, the supervising manager shall solicit information from:
 - a. No fewer than four other administrators (employed by either Compton or El Camino) who have worked with the administrator being evaluated; and
 - b. No fewer than six faculty members who work in a department supervised by the administrator or who have recently served on a committee, panel or other working group with the administrator being evaluated (the faculty members shall be designated by the supervising manager and confirmed by the Faculty Council); and
 - c. No fewer than six classified staff members who work in a department supervised by the administrator or who have recently served on a committee, panel or other working group with the administrator being evaluated (the classified staff members shall be designated by the supervising manager and, if represented, confirmed by the President of the staff member's exclusive representative); and
 - d. where relevant, students, community members or external colleagues who have personal knowledge about some aspect of the administrator's performance.
- 2. Before adopting a final version of evaluation plan, the supervising manager shall share a draft of the plan with the administrator being evaluated and solicit his or her comments. Once the supervising manager adopts a final plan, the he or she shall send a copy of the plan to the administrator being evaluated.

- 3. The supervising manager may use survey instruments approved by the District, individual interviews or structured group interviews conducted by the supervising manager or an impartial third party, or any other reasonable means to collect relevant and useful information from the participants.
- 4. When data gathering has been completed, the supervising manager shall review all of the data collected, as well as any formal recommendations to the administrator contained in his or her past evaluations. Based on that information, the supervising manager shall prepare a draft comprehensive evaluation summary that, for each applicable performance category, contains the following:
 - a. a brief narrative assessment of the administrator's performance that reflects the supervising manager's analysis of the data collected;
 - b. a tabulation of relevant data collected from surveys or similar data collection instruments; and
 - b. a rating of exceeds expectations, meets expectations, needs improvement.
- 5. Before the end of the academic year, if feasible and before the end of August, if not the supervising manager and the administrator shall meet to review the administrator's goals, his or her accomplishments for the year and the supervising manager's draft comprehensive evaluation summary. Following the meeting, the supervising manager shall complete the comprehensive evaluation summary and, based on the summary, rate the administrator's overall performance as satisfactory, needs improvement or unsatisfactory.
- 6. Once the supervising manager has completed the evaluation summary and assigned a rating to the administrator's overall performance, he or she shall deliver the evaluation summary to the administrator and the Provost/CEO and place a copy of the summary in the administrator's personnel file.
- 7. The completed evaluation, when delivered to the administrator by the supervising manager, shall be accompanied by written advice that the administrator may submit a written comment regarding the evaluation. If the administrator chooses to submit a comment, it shall be appended to the copy of the evaluation contained in the administrator's personnel file.
- 8. The administrator may request, and if he or she does so, will receive an opportunity to meet with the Provost/CEO to discuss the supervising manager's evaluation.

Appendix A Desirable Professional Attributes

LEADERSHIP SKILL AND ABILITY

The administrator:

- has a coherent vision of how the programs and services he or she administers can best contribute to the institution's success, takes initiative to build on that vision, and promotes useful innovation and change;
- motivates others by exhibiting enthusiasm and adhering consistently to fundamental values like honesty, integrity, fairness, and inclusiveness;
- demands high standards through clearly stated expectations and personal conduct;
- uses good judgment and responds to situations appropriately, including taking appropriate risks;
- works hard to address problems forthrightly and solve them productively; and
- fosters an environment in which all people feel genuinely included, no matter what their race, ethnicity, national origin, disability, sex, or sexual orientation.

COMMUNICATION SKILL AND ABILITY

The administrator:

- regularly exhibits the ability to inform and persuade others in oral and written communication;
- effectively articulates and conveys needs and goals to others;
- listens well and is receptive to the ideas of others; and
- keeps those who rely on the administrator for information about schedules, deadlines, policy or regulatory changes, and similar administrative information well informed.

ADMINISTRATIVE/MANAGERIAL SKILL AND ABILITY

The administrator:

 is organized and effectively structures, sets priorities for, delegates, and facilitates the accomplishment of tasks;

- demonstrates tenacity and singleness of purpose when necessary, but also adapts to and promotes change when appropriate;
- works well under pressure, responds well to crisis, and has a high tolerance for ambiguity;
- maintains a professional and cooperative attitude when working with groups, and builds consensus, trust and confidence among the people he or she works with closely;
- provides for broad participation and collaboration in planning and decision-making; and
- gives firm direction when needed, is tactful in conveying criticism, and addresses conflicts constructively.

PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERTISE

The administrator:

- demonstrates appropriate knowledge of the important issues, trends, and developments
 affecting community colleges and other institutions of higher education in the nation, the
 state and the region;
- manifests an understanding of Center and district goals, policies, procedures, etc.
- has an appropriate level of knowledge of the systems and procedures needed to ensure effective operation of the programs and services he or she administers; and
- participates in relevant professional and service organizations and activities and uses professional contacts as a resource when appropriate.

Basic Evaluation Summary FY 20__-20__

Fulfillment of the responsibilities of the position.				
[Enter narrative]				
Rating: Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement				
Attainment of or progress towards achieving annual goals.				
[Enter narrative]				
Rating: Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement				
Leadership Ability				
1 Has a coherent vision of how the programs and services he or she administers can best contribute to the institution's success, takes initiative to build on that vision, and promotes useful innovation and change				
 Motivates others by exhibiting enthusiasm Adheres consistently to fundamental values like honesty, integrity, fairness, and inclusiveness 				
Demands high standards through clearly stated expectations and personal conduct Uses good judgment and responds to situations appropriately, including taking sensible risks Works hard to address problems forthrightly and solve them productively Fosters an environment in which all people feel genuinely included, no matter what their				
race, ethnicity, national origin, disability, sex, or sexual orientation Rating:				
Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement				
Communication Skill and Ability				

- 1 Regularly exhibits the ability to inform and persuade others in oral and written communication
- 2 Effectively articulates and conveys needs and goals to others
- 3 Listens well and is receptive to the ideas of others.

4	Keeps those who rely on the administrator for information about schedules, deadlines, policy or regulatory changes, and similar administrative information well informed.				
Ra	ting:				
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement				
<u>Ad</u>	ministrative/Managerial Skill and Ability				
1	Is organized and effectively structures, sets priorities for, delegates, and facilitates the accomplishment of tasks				
2	Demonstrates tenacity and singleness of purpose when necessary, but also adapts to and promotes change when appropriate				
3	Works well under pressure, responds well to crisis, and has a high tolerance for ambiguity				
4	Maintains a professional and cooperative attitude when working with groups, and builds consensus, trust and confidence among the people he or she works with closely				
5	Provides for broad participation and collaboration in planning and decision-making				
6	Gives firm direction when needed, is tactful in conveying criticism, and addresses conflicts constructively.				
Rating: Needs					
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement				
Pro	ofessional Knowledge and Expertise				

- 1 Demonstrates appropriate knowledge of the important issues, trends, and developments affecting community colleges and other institutions of higher education in the nation, the state and the region
- 2 Manifests an understanding of Center and district goals, policies, procedures, etc
- 3 Has an appropriate level of knowledge of the systems and procedures needed to ensure effective operation of the programs and services he or she administers.

4	Participates in relevant professional and service organizations and activities and uses professional contacts as a resource when appropriate			
Ra	ating:			
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement			

[NAME OF ADMINISTRATOR]
Comprehensive Evaluation Summary
FY 20xx-20xx

Main Issues a	ind Themes.		
[Enter nar	rative]		
Fulfillment of	f the responsibilities of the	e nosition	
		o position.	
[Enter nar	iauvej		
Rating:	_Exceeds Expectations _	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement
Attainment of	f or progress towards achie	eving annual goals.	
[Enter nar	rative]		
Rating:	_Exceeds Expectations _	_ Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement

Comprehensive Evaluation Summary FY 20xx-20xx

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Leadership Ability

(Responses from seven administrators, five faculty members, four staff members and one community member/other)

1	Has a coherent vision of how the programs and services he or she administers can best contribute to the institution's success, takes initiative to build on that vision, and promotes useful innovation and change					
2	Motivates others by exhibiting enthusiasm					
3	Adheres consistently to fundamental values like honesty, integrity, fairness, and inclusiveness.					
4	Demands high standards through clearly stated expectations and personal conduct.					
5	Uses good judgment and responds to situations appropriately, including taking sensible risks.					
6	Works hard to address problems forthrightly and solve them productively					
7	Fosters an environment in which all people feel genuinely included, no matter what their race, ethnicity, national origin, disability, sex, or sexual orientation.					
Ov	verall Average					
Rating:						
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement					

Comprehensive Evaluation Summary FY 20xx-20xx

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Communication Skill and Ability

(Responses from seven administrators, five faculty members, four staff members and one community member/other)

1	Regularly exhibits the ability to inform and persuade others in oral and written communication			
2	Effectively articulates and conveys needs and goals to others			
3	Listens well and is receptive to the ideas of others.			
4	Keeps those who rely on the administrator for information about schedules, deadlines, policy or regulatory changes, and similar administrative information well informed.			
Ov	rerall Average			
Ra	ting:			
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement			

Comprehensive Evaluation Summary FY 20xx-20xx

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Administrative/Managerial Skill and Ability

(Responses from seven administrators, five faculty members, four staff members and one community member/other)

1	Is organized and effectively structures, sets priorities for, delegates, and facilitates the accomplishment of tasks
2	Demonstrates tenacity and singleness of purpose when necessary, but also adapts to and promotes change when appropriate
3	Works well under pressure, responds well to crisis, and has a high tolerance for ambiguity
4	Maintains a professional and cooperative attitude when working with groups, and builds consensus, trust and confidence among the people he or she works with closely
5	Provides for broad participation and collaboration in planning and decision-making
6	Gives firm direction when needed, is tactful in conveying criticism, and addresses conflicts constructively
Ov	erall Average
Ra	ting: Needs
	Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations Needs Improvement

Comprehensive Evaluation Summary FY 20xx-20xx

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Professional Knowledge and Expertise

(Responses from seven administrators, five faculty members, four staff members and one community member/other)

1	Demonstrates appropriate knowledge of the important issues, trends, and developments affecting community colleges and other institutions of higher education in the nation, the state and the region
2	Manifests an understanding of Center and district goals, policies, procedures, etc
3	Has an appropriate level of knowledge of the systems and procedures needed to ensure effective operation of the programs and services he or she administers
4	Participates in relevant professional and service organizations and activities and uses professional contacts as a resource when appropriate
Ov	verall Average
Ra	ting:
	Exceeds Expectations Needs Improvement
	Rating of Overall Performance
	Satisfactory Needs to Improve Unsatisfactory
Da	.te:
	Supervising Manager

Please note: you may submit a written comment regarding this evaluation. If you choose to submit a comment, it shall be appended to the copy of the evaluation contained in your personnel file. You may also request a meeting with the Provost/CEO to discuss this evaluation.

Administrator Evaluation FY 200x-200y

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

The administrator whose name appears above is being evaluated and you have been identified as someone who can provide relevant information about his or her performance during the past year. If you do not believe that is the case, please initial this survey instrument here [___] and return it in the attached envelope.

If you can assist with the evaluation, please complete this survey instrument by indicating the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the statements listed below and, if you believe it is necessary or appropriate, adding a brief narrative comment in the space provided.

Please be assured that your responses and comments are confidential and will be destroyed once they are tabulated. Furthermore, none of your comments will be shared with the administrator being evaluated in a way that identifies you.

Leadership Skill and Ability

This administrator:

A	Has a coherent vision of how the programs and services he or she administers can best contribute to the institution's success, takes initiative to build on that vision, and promotes useful innovation and change.				
	5	4	3	21	
В	Motivates others	by exhibiting enthusi	asm.		
	5	4	3	21	
С	Adheres consistently to fundamental values like honesty, integrity, fairness, and inclusiveness.				
	5	4	3	21	
D	Demands high sta	andards through clear	ly stated expectations	and personal conduct.	
	5	4	3	21	
Е	Uses good judgment and responds to situations appropriately, including taking sensible risks.				
	5	4	3	21	

Ac	lministrator Eval	luation		Agree = 4	
FY 200x-200y			Disagree = 2		
				Strongly Disagrees =	1
<u>Le</u>	adership Ability	cont'd			
F	Works hard to	address problem	ns forthrightly and so	lve them productively.	
	5	4	3	2	1
G				nuinely included, no ma or sexual orientation.	itter what
	5	4	3	2	1
Co	omments:				

Strongly Agree = 5

[Name of Administrator]

Administrator Evaluation FY 200x-200y

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Communication Skill and Ability

A	Regularly exhibits the ability to inform and persuade others in oral and written communication.				vritten
	5	4	3	2	1
В	Effectively artic	culates and con	iveys needs and goals t	o others.	
	5	4	3	2	1
C	C Listens well and is receptive to the ideas of others.				
	5	4	3	2	1
D	-	•	dministrator for inform and similar administrat		
	5	4	3	2	1

Comments:

Administrator Evaluation FY 200x-200y

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Administrative/Managerial Skill and Ability

A	Is organized a	s organized and effectively structures, sets priorities for, delegates, and facilitates t				
		ecomplishment of tasks.				
	5	4	3	2	1	
В		nonstrates tenacity and singleness of purpose when necessary, but also adapts to promotes change when appropriate.				
	5	4	3	2	1	
C	Works well under pressure, responds well to crisis, and has a high tolerance for ambiguity.					
	5	4	3	2	1	
D	Maintains a professional and cooperative attitude when working with groups, and builds consensus, trust and confidence among the people he or she works with closely.					
	5	4	3	2	1	
Е	Provides for b	oroad participation	on and collaboration in	planning and decisi	on-making.	
	5	4	3	2	1	
F	Gives firm direction when needed, is tactful in conveying criticism, and addresses conflicts constructively.					
	5	4	3	2	1	
Co	mments:					

Administrator Evaluation FY 200x-200y

Strongly Agree = 5 Agree = 4 Disagree = 2 Strongly Disagrees = 1

Professional Knowledge and Expertise

This administrator:

A	Demonstrates appropriate knowledge of the important issues, trends, and developments affecting community colleges and other institutions of higher education in the nation, the state and the region.						
	5	4	3	2	1		
В	Manifests an understanding of Center and district goals, policies, procedures, etc.						
	5	4	3	2	1		
C	Has an appropriate level of knowledge of the systems and procedures needed to ensure effective operation of the programs and services he or she administers.						
	5	4	3	2	1		
D	-	articipates in relevant professional and service organizations and activities and uses ofessional contacts as a resource when appropriate.					
	5	4	3	2	1		
_							

Comments:

Rating of Overall Performance						
Satisfactory	Needs to Improve	Unsatisfactory				
Date:						
		Supervising Manager				

Please note: you may submit a written comment regarding this evaluation. If you choose to submit a comment, it shall be appended to the copy of the evaluation contained in your personnel file. You may also request a meeting with the Provost/CEO to discuss this evaluation.