



**PLANNING & BUDGET COMMITTEE (PBC) MEETING**  
**Compton Community College District**  
**November 22, 2016 – 2:30 pm – 3:30 pm**  
**Board Room**

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Steven Haigler                      \_\_\_ Miguel Ornelas                       LaVetta Johnson                      \_\_\_ Jonae Urabe  
\_\_\_ Dr. Abiodun Osanyinpeju                      \_\_\_ Dr. Jose Villalobos                       David Simmons  
 Shemiran Lazar                      \_\_\_ Rashid Yahye

**OTHERS ATTENDING:** Felipe Lopez

**Handouts**

- Compton Community College District –El Camino College Compton Center 2017-2018 Planning and Budget Calendar

I. **Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 2:40 p.m. by Steven Haigler.

II. **Approval of Minutes**

The minutes of August 9, 2016 and August 23, 2016 were deferred until the next meeting as there was no quorum.

III. **Budget Calendar**

Mr. Lopez provided a brief overview of the 2017-2018 Planning and Budget Calendar. He stated that January will be a significant item for the upcoming budget. In January the Governor will release his initial budget proposal for the 2017-2018 fiscal year at which time we will be developing our preliminary revenue estimates. Mr. Lopez stated that we will begin to assess key budget issues and looking at the CEO's overarching priorities which are linked to the Strategic Initiatives; which are integrated into our Mission, Vision, District, and Center. He indicated that once we have established our overarching priorities we will move to identify and develop our budget assumptions for the year. At the end of January an update on the Governor's Budget will be provided. He mentioned that we are half way through our current fiscal year and planning for our new fiscal 2017-2018 budget. Mr. Lopez indicated that the tentative budget needs to be approved by the Board no later than June 30<sup>th</sup> and the final budget adopted by September 10<sup>th</sup>.

#### IV. Fifty Percent Law

Ms. Lazar inquired about the Fifty Percent Law and wanted to know how it fits into the budget. Mr. Lopez stated that it is not necessarily part of the actual budget and the calculation is complex. He indicated that once the budget is developed he does a preliminary of the Fifty Percent Law to ensure our numbers will be in compliance or if there are any problem areas. It is one of those area that we have to wait it out to see what happens and monitor it. Mr. Lopez stated that he has presented it to the PBC before in the days the District was out of compliance, however, for the last 3 to 4 years we have been in compliance. We are currently at 54.71 percent as of June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2016.

Mr. Haigler indicated that the PBC was new to him as well. He mentioned that the PBC interests are developed using Program Review, data, the Mission Statement, Strategic Objectives, Dr. Curry's directives and in that context we should always be thinking about the Fifty Percent Law. The context of what it is we are trying to do; linking everything together and in doing so we could be impacted by the Fifty Percent Law. Mr. Lopez indicated that it would be a good suggestion and what he would recommend is the Overarching Priorities should always be in compliance with the Fifty Percent Law because anything that we do will impact the Fifty Percent Law one way or the other.

Mr. Haigler stated that one of the Strategic Objectives is to increase enrollment and we could decide to throw money into enrollment projects, all of which could have a negative impact on the Fifty Percent Law. He stated that an increase in programs offering would have a positive impact. Mr. Lopez stated that increasing enrollment will have an indirect relation on instruction because in theory you will have more instructional cost. If we were to place half a million dollars into enrollment management and we are not successful it will negatively impact the Fifty Percent Law. However, if the reverse effect was successful and we increased our FTES it would be beneficial to us because we increase the instructional side of the demand. Mr. Lopez stated that you want to be cautious of anything that would negatively impact the District at the end.

Mr. Haigler clarified that if we were to use unrestricted dollars to fund the Enrollment Management Plan and were successful it would have a negative impact., but if we could feed in some categorical programs money to the same thing it would not have any impact.

Ms. Lazar stated that she understands that some of the funding is from the District and some from El Camino. Mr. Lopez stated that we do not receive any monies from El Camino, our funding is based on all of our enrollment at the District. Ms. Lazar clarified that we do have budget money from the state. She wanted to know what was the percentage we received from the state for enrollment. Mr. Lopez stated that our biggest source comes from the state and it is based on our enrollment. Ms. Lazar inquired about tutoring services in the Learning Center. He indicated that the District did not have these services before and wanted to know if it was part of the budget coming from the state. Mr. Lopez stated that it was an instructional supplemental instruction. She stated that there are two types of tutoring: in class tutoring and tutoring at the Learning Center and Supplemental

Instruction. Mr. Lopez stated that those are FTES generated classes and is in the overall funding that we receive.

A question and answer session followed. There was an in-depth discussion regarding this issue.

V. Adjournment - The meeting adjourned at 3:04 pm.