



**Accreditation Steering Committee Meeting
Minutes
Wednesday, April 22, 2020
2:00-3:00pm**

Vision:

Compton College will be the leading institution of student learning and success in higher education.

Mission Statement:

Compton College is a welcoming and inclusive community where diverse students are supported to pursue and attain student success. Compton College provides solutions to challenges, utilizes the latest techniques for preparing the workforce and provides clear pathways for completion of programs of study, transition to a university, and securing living-wage employment.

ATTENDEES:

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> R. Blonshine | <input type="checkbox"/> C. Halligan | <input type="checkbox"/> J. Mills | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> R. Sasser |
| <input type="checkbox"/> K. Curry | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S. Kibui | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. Osanyinpeju | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. Smith |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> P. Flor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E. Martinez | <input type="checkbox"/> L. Owens | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> L. Sosenko |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E. French-Preston | <input type="checkbox"/> C. Maruri | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S. Purdom | <input type="checkbox"/> G. Valle |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. Gillis | <input type="checkbox"/> D. Maruyama | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K. Radcliffe | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. Yermakov |

1. Discussion Items

a. Subcommittee actions: Reviewing and Verifying Actionable Items/Recommendations

- i. Dr. Radcliffe has no update. Linda Owens may have an update but they have not discussed it yet
- ii. Dr. Blonshine read her section and has some questions about narrative draft one for standard IIA, recommendation 4 and 5, is this Syria's part, or Dr. Blonshine's part? Also, Dr. Blonshine followed up with library faculty, where does their specific CTE info go? Amber will review and get back to Dr. Blonshine. Syria stated she worked on the narrative with Bailey and Lynell. Syria asking for feedback to see if it is too specific, is it too detailed? Amber replied that anything relevant that shows we are addressing the items should be included. People shouldn't have questions when reading this document, so the detail should help with that but recommends a bit more evidence, such as emails, for corroboration. Amber answered Dr. Blonshine's question-the overlap might be the actionable improvement items came from ISER and the recommendations are from the team that came to review. A.II 7-12 is the first part of the narrative, recommendations 3 and 4 are for IIA.
- iii. Dr. Flor says standard I still has areas that need to be addressed. There is concern about the "in progress" status lasting for four years. Amber replies with understanding of the concern, but if something is ongoing or in progress, we can still address it in the midterm report. As long as we demonstrate that we are planning, or redoing things, it is okay. We put things in place, and then next time we discuss issues that happened and how we addressed the issues. We might end up seeing a recommendation from an outsider to focus on progressing somewhere, but as long as we are moving forward with discussions and planning, we should be fine.
- iv. Andrei Yermakov-no updates and asks who is on his subcommittee, besides David Maruyama. The reply from the November 2019 list for standing committees-Sevana, Brent Kooiman, Mac, Judy Crozier, Gary Nurusawa, Celia Valdez. Andrei will reach

- out to all in the committee. Amber wrote section, but needs the committee to verify the info and if things should be added.
- v. Dr. Preston-no updates, committee hasn't met. Amber said to make sure to check SharePoint folder to see what has been created. Then verify accuracy and provide evidence. At the very latest, by May 6th.
- b. SLO Section of the Mid-Term Report
 - i. In reviewing the handbook section 6B, Lauren saw that in addition to an outline for the mid term report, an SLO section is needed. Amber will reach out to Susan to review the 6B section.
 - ii. Dr. Radcliffe asked how other colleges motivate part timers to do SLOS. Colleges can require participation. Our campus is unique because we have stand alone courses only taught by adjuncts. Maybe we assign a full-timer to follow up? That is something we need to decide on as a college.
 - c. QFE Narrative and Evidence-Status Report
 - i. A full draft will be shared shortly after May 6th deadline. Check email for draft so you can review and provide feedback via email because our next meeting is June 3rd
 - d. Annual Fiscal and Data Report, Due May 3, 2020
 - e. Fall meeting
 - i. Lauren recommends meeting every month in fall, instead of quarterly
 - ii. Sylvia to send meeting invites for the third Wednesday of every month in the fall, August-December
- 2. Town Halls – Scheduling
 - a. Will be held sometime at end of May
 - 3. Future Agenda Items
 - a. Review of Subcommittee Homework
 - b. Review of QFE Narratives and Evidence
 - c. Review of Midterm Report Data

The Next Scheduled Meeting
June 3, 2020
2-3:00pm/ZOOM